

Your Touchstone Energy® Cooperative 🗡

Green Station CCR Landfill

Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) from Electric Utilities Final Rule Periodic Run-on and Run-off Control System Plan

October 11, 2021

Prepared By:



Project ID: 210095

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) from Electric Utilities Final Rule Periodic Run-on and Run-off Control System Plan

CCR Landfill Information

Name:	Green Station CCR Landfill
Operator:	Sebree Generating Station
Address:	9000 Highway 2096 Robards, Kentucky 42452

Qualified Professional Engineer

Name:	David A. Lamb
Company:	Associated Engineers, Inc.
Kentucky P.E. Number:	17822

Regulatory Applicability

As part of the § 257.81 for existing CCR landfill requirements, the owner or operator of an existing or new CCR landfill must design, construct, operate, and maintain a run-on and run-off control system plan as specified below. The owner or operator of the CCR unit must prepare the periodic run-on and run-of control system plan no later than five years after completing the initial plan.

The owner or operator of an existing CCR landfill must design, construct, operate, and maintain:

- (1) A run-on control system to prevent flow onto the active portion of the CCR unit during the peak discharge from a 24-hour, 25-year storm; and
- (2) A run-off control system from the active portion of the CCR unit to collect and control at least the water volume resulting from a 24-hour, 25-year storm.

Run-off from the active portion of the CCR unit must be handled in accordance with the surface water requirements under § 257.3-3 (Part 257 - Criteria for Classification of Solid Waste Disposal Facilities and Practices Subpart A - Classification of Solid Waste Disposal Facilities and Practices Section 257.3-3 - Surface water):

Run-on and run-off control system plan:

(1) *Content of the plan.* The owner or operator must prepare initial and periodic run-on and run-off control system plans for the CCR unit. These plans must document how the run-

on and run-off control systems have been designed and constructed to meet the applicable requirements of this section. Each plan must be supported by appropriate engineering calculations. The owner or operator has completed the initial run-on and run-off control system plan when the plan has been placed in the facility's operating record.

(2) Amendment of the plan. The owner or operator may amend the written run-on and runoff control system plan at any time provided the revised plan is placed in the facility's operating record. The owner or operator must amend the written run-on and run- off control system plan whenever there is a change in conditions that would substantially affect the written plan in effect.

Description of Landfill

An aerial photo of the CCR unit is provided as Attachment A and an excerpt from U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5 minute Robards and Delaware topographic quadrangle maps showing the location of the CCR unit is provided as Attachment B.

The CCR unit is used for the placement of coal combustion residual material; currently fly ash, bottom ash and related material. The approximate total volume of CCR contained in the unit at the time of inspection is 23.2 million cubic yards. This volume was calculated from available flight derived baseline topography compared to September 2021 flight derived topographic contours. The Green CCR landfill is raised above adjacent ground to a maximum elevation of approximately 608 feet AMSL. The original ground surface within the landfill footprint was irregular and the predominant features were small stream valleys draining towards the Green River which is located just east of the landfill; and towards Groves Creek which is located just south of the landfill.

Run-on and Run-off Control System Plan

The initial and periodic run-on and run-off control system plan documents that the run-on control system will prevent flow onto the active portion of the CCR unit during the peak discharge from a 24-hour/25-year storm; and that the run-off control system from the active portion of the CCR unit will collect and control at least the water volume resulting from a 24-hour/25-year storm.

Run-on Control Analysis

An evaluation of the Green CCR landfill configuration and topography resulted in the determination that because of the elevated position of active portions of the landfill, no significant run-on can occur and the only drainage onto active areas is storm water generated

from direct precipitation; thus the CCR unit run-on system will prevent flow onto the active portion of the CCR unit during the peak discharge from the design storm event.

Run-off Control Analysis

Analysis of the Green CCR landfill drainage and sedimentation basin configurations and designs via SEDCAD modeling demonstrates that the design flood control system adequately manages flow out of the CCR unit during and following the specified 24-hour/25-year storm event. SEDCAD by Civil Software Design, LLC is a widely recognized comprehensive hydrology and sedimentology package, useful for runoff and sediment control design calculations. The SEDCAD modeling results for the Green CCR landfill are attached to this report.

The operating facility has verified that discharge from the Green CCR landfill is handled in accordance with the surface water requirements under § 257.3-3 (Part 257 - Criteria for Classification of Solid Waste Disposal Facilities and Practices Subpart A - Classification of Solid Waste Disposal Facilities and Practices Section 257.3-3 - Surface water).

Leachate Control Analysis

Per Part 257.53 of the CCR rule, the definitions for run-on and run-off both include leachate. Big Rivers Electric Corporation manages leachate through several options. In the event of leachate outbreaks, the leachate drainage would be routed to a sedimentation basin and permitted KPDES outfall. An alternative would be to mitigate the leachate drainage by removing the impacted area and replacing the cover material with compacted clay and then covering the clay with new cover material and seeding and mulching the area. Specifically, Big Rivers Electric Corporation has developed and implemented per this plan revision, the Leachate Management Standard Operating Procedures set forth in Attachment C, which have been reviewed and approved by the Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection.

Sources of Information

Geotechnical and other information provided by Associated Engineers, Inc.

Engineering design drawings and other information provided by Big Rivers Electric Corporation

United States Geological Survey U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5 minute Robards and Delaware topographic quadrangle maps

Professional Engineer Certification [Per 40 CFR § 257.81] Green CCR Landfill Periodic Run-on and Run-off Control System Plan

I hereby certify that myself or an agent under my review has prepared this Run-on and Runoff Control System Plan (Plan), and being familiar with the provisions of the final rule to regulate the disposal of coal combustion residuals (CCR) as solid waste under subtitle D of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), attest that this Plan has been prepared in accordance with good engineering practices and meets the intent of 40 CFR Part 257.81. To the best of my knowledge and belief, the information contained in this Plan is true, complete, and accurate.

DAVID A * LAMB David A. Lamb P.E. PH 17822 State of Kentucky License No. 17822

Date: 10/11/21





Attachment C. Leachate Management Standard Operating Procedures

Subject: Surface Seep and Leachate Outbreaks Repair

To ensure compliance with 40 CFR 257 Subpart D and 401 KAR Chapters 45 and 46, the following procedure will be utilized for identification and repair of seeps and leachate outbreaks at the Green CCR landfill. For purposes of this SOP, a leachate outbreak is wastewater/seepage flowing directly from the covered CCR that has passed through or emerged from solid waste and contains soluble, suspended or miscible materials removed from such wastes. Seeps are flows that emerge from the ground immediately below the actual waste disposal area and that may contain leachate that is mixed with water from saturated soils or surface water infiltration.

- An inspection by a qualified person will be conducted once per week to identify any seeps and leachate outbreaks at the Green CCR landfill. The inspection will include the entire perimeter of the Green CCR Landfill as weather conditions allow at the time of the inspection. The weather conditions at the time of the inspection must be documented on the inspection form.
- Identified seeps and leachate outbreaks must be located and documented by Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) and digital photography.
- Identified seeps and leachate outbreaks must be quantified as to the amount of standing or flowing water in gallons per minute. Measurements or estimates of the impacted area in square feet must be included. Other information relevant to remediation of the outbreak or seep shall be included on the Big Rivers Electric Corporation (BREC) inspection form.
- All information fields on the BREC inspection form shall be completed.
- Categorize the seep or leachate outbreak into one of three categories:
 - Category 1 Leachate/seep flow is contained within a drainage ditch and pond system that flows to a KPDES permitted outfall and the outbreak or seep is readily repairable by removing the impacted area and replacing the cover dirt with compacted clay, seeded and mulched, when the soil conditions are not too wet to preclude typical construction activities or the ambient temperature is not too low to preclude typical construction activities. For purposes of this determination, readily repairable is an outbreak or seep that can reasonably be believed to be remediated by removing the impacted area and replacing the cover with compacted clay. This determination requires the judgment of the inspector based upon the size, flow, and any repeat history of the outbreak or seep. For any area where there is no visible flow and no rutting/erosion of the soil from prior flow(s),but only saturated soil, then such an area will not be identified as a seep/leachate outbreak but will be identified and recorded as

"saturated soil" in the log and monitored during subsequent weekly inspections.

- Category 2 Leachate/seep is contained within a drainage ditch and pond system that flows to a KPDES permitted outfall but requires further investigation and evaluation prior to any attempt at remediation or if initial remediation efforts prove to be unsuccessful.
- Category 3 Leachate/seep is not contained within the KPDES permitted ditch and pond system. Any areas of leachate/seep discharges that are identified must be remediated, contained or routed to the KPDES permitted ditch and pond system if the seep displays a visible flow. Actions must begin immediately to prevent an unpermitted point source discharge to a water of the United States by remediating the outbreak or seep.
- Steps to take if a Category 1 Leachate/seep outbreak reappears:
 - If a Category 1 Leachate/seep outbreak reappears more than 30 days after a previous repair and the flow from the seep/leachate outbreak has been reduced or the extent of the impact is reduced from the initial identification of the seep/leachate outbreak, then BREC may classify the reappearance of the seep/leachate outbreak as a Category 1 Leachate/seep outbreak and commence repairs per the Agreed Order (excavate, compact, seed, and mulch.
 - For any area where there is no visible flow and no rutting/erosion of the soil from prior flow(s), but only saturated soil, then such an area will not be identified as a seep/leachate outbreak but will be identified and recorded as "saturated soil" in the log and monitored during subsequent weekly inspections.
 - Seeps/leachate outbreaks that reappear less than 30 days after a repair or that reappear at a later date with increased flow or impact area will be classified as a Category 2.
- Collect water samples for constituents listed in Table 1. A water sample will only be collected for analysis when a sufficient amount of water is flowing on the surface to collect a sample without disturbing the underlying soil. Samples will be collected once for each categorization unless there are visual changes such as color in the leachate. Seep/leachate water samples will be collected once when identified as a Category 1 and again if reclassified as a Category 2. The analysis will be performed by a laboratory certified in the State of Kentucky. The analysis must contain the chain of custody and complete analysis with QA/QC results. Results will be maintained in the Landfill operating log on-site.
- Place categorized information in the Landfill operating log.
- Corrective actions for readily repairable seeps and leachate outbreaks must begin as soon as reasonably feasible with consideration given to inclement weather patterns and soil moisture conditions.

- Remediation areas outside the KPDES permitted ditch and pond system must include the installation of sedimentation controls as found in the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan/Best Management Plan guidance document published by the Kentucky Division of Water. Water samples from seeps containing a visible flow shall be taken for impacted areas outside the KPDES permitted ditch and pond system and analyzed for the constituents found in Table 1.
- Cover soil and/or special waste removed during the remediation process must be placed in an active area of a CCR landfill or reused during the remediation of the unit if practicable.
- Replacement soil must be compacted, seeded and mulched.
- Environmental Services shall evaluate and determine remediation plans for a Category – 2 Leachate/seep outbreak that is deemed not readily repairable based upon flow and landfill conditions. Until remediation occurs, the seep/leachate flow shall be visually monitored, conveyed to a KPDES permitted outfall, and treated as necessary to ensure compliance with KPDES discharge limits and applicable water quality standards in the receiving stream. Remediation activities required for a Category 2 outbreak will be sent to the Division of Waste Management, 300 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 within five (5) business days of finalizing the report.
- Category 3 seeps displaying a visual flow will be reported to the Kentucky Division of Water Surface Water Permits Branch in Frankfort, Kentucky and the Madisonville Field Office consistent with the Section 2.12 reporting provisions of the KPDES permit for leachate/seep outbreaks. Category 3 seeps with a visual flow will also be reported to the Kentucky Division of Waste Management Field Operations Branch in Frankfort, Kentucky and the Madisonville Field Office. Reporting of the seeps shall occur as soon as feasible after discovery of such a seep, but no later than ten (10) days after discovery. Environmental Services shall evaluate and determine remediation plans for a Category 3 seep that is deemed not readily repairable based upon flow and landfill conditions.

These protocols shall be followed at CCR units subject to the federal CCR Rule and 401 KAR Chapter 46.

- Table 1
 - From 40 CFR 257 App. III
 - Boron
 - Calcium
 - Chloride
 - Fluoride
 - pH
 - Sulfate
 - Total Dissolved Solids

- o From 40 CFR 257 App. IV
 - Antimony
 - Arsenic
 - Barium
 - Beryllium
 - Cadmium
 - Chromium
 - Cobalt
 - Fluoride
 - Lead
 - Lithium
 - Mercury
 - Molybdenum
 - Selenium
 - Thallium
 - Radium 226 and 228 combined
- From 401 KAR 45:160
 - *Chemical Oxygen Demand
 - *Total Organic Carbon
 - *Specific Conductance
 - *Copper
 - *Nickel
 - *Zinc
 - *Iron
 - *Sodium
 - *Magnesium
 - *Potassium
 - *Bicarbonate
 - *Carbonate

Big Rivers Electric Corporation <u>Reid/Green/HMPL Stations</u> <u>Sebree, Kentucky</u>

Stormwater Evaluation Green Landfill Pond 014

25 Year - 24 Hour Event

AEI Project #21-0095

Dalton Hankins

General Information

Storm Information:

Storm Type:	NRCS Type II
Design Storm:	25 yr - 24 hr
Rainfall Depth:	5.680 inches

Туре	Stru #	(flows into)	Stru #	Musk. K (hrs)	Musk. X	Description		
Pond	#1	==>	#2	0.000	0.000	Pond 014A		
Pond	#2	==>	End	0.000	0.000	Pond 014B		

Structure Networking:



		Immediate Contributing Area (ac)	Total Contributing Area (ac)	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Total Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#1	In	F2 200	F2 200	190.00	18.66
#1	Out	52.290	52.290	73.35	18.66
#2	In	42 010	04 200	176.55	31.06
#2	Out	42.010	94.300	34.64	29.87

Structure Summary:

Structure Detail:

Structure #1 (Pond)

Pond 014A

Pond Inputs:

		Initi	al Pool Elev:	382.74	ft	
			Initial Pool:	0.67 ac	-ft	
		<u>S</u>	Straight Pip	<u>e</u>		
Barrel	Barrel	Parrol	Manningla	Coillwov	Entrance	Tailwater
Diameter	Length	Slope (%)	Marining S	Spillway	Loss	Depth
(in)	(ft)	Slope (%)	11	Liev (it)	Coefficient	(ft)
24.00	141.00	0.99	0.0120	382.74	0.90	0.00

Straight Pipe

Barr	el	Barrel	Parrol	Manningla	Chillwov	Entrance	Tailwater
Diame	eter	Length		Manning S	Spillway	Loss	Depth
(in))	(ft)	Slope (%)	n	Elev (It)	Coefficient	(ft)
:	36.00	130.00	1.00	0.0120	386.00	0.90	0.00

Pond Results:

Peak Elevation:	389.38 ft
Dewater Time:	0.91 days

Dewatering time is calculated from peak stage to lowest spillway

Elevation-Capacity-Discharge Table

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)	
382.00	0.880	0.000	0.000		
382.50	0.912	0.448	0.000		
382.74	0.927	0.669	0.000		Spillway #1
383.00	0.944	0.912	0.564	5.22*	
383.50	0.977	1.392	2.778	4.15	
384.00	1.010	1.889	5.929	5.40	
384.01	1.010	1.899	6.000	0.05	
384.50	1.032	2.399	9.780	2.10	
385.00	1.055	2.921	14.230	1.15	
385.50	1.077	3.454	17.934	0.75	

Convright 1998 -2010 Pamela I Schwah

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)	
386.00	1.100	3.998	21.070	0.65	Spillway #2
386.50	1.134	4.556	26.012	0.50	
387.00	1.169	5.132	32.525	0.40	
387.50	1.204	5.726	40.017	0.30	
388.00	1.240	6.337	48.202	0.30	
388.50	1.289	6.969	56.781	0.25	
389.00	1.338	7.625	66.020	0.25	
389.38	1.376	8.139	73.352	0.30	Peak Stage
389.50	1.389	8.307	75.747		
390.00	1.440	9.014	83.775		

*Designates time(s) to dewater have been extrapolated beyond the 50 hour hydrograph limit.

			Combined
Elevation	Straight Pipe	Straight Pipe	Total
(ft)	(cfs)	(cfs)	Discharge
			(cfs)
382.00	0.000	0.000	0.000
382.50	0.000	0.000	0.000
382.74	0.000	0.000	0.000
383.00	(3)>0.564	0.000	0.564
383.50	(3)>2.778	0.000	2.778
384.00	(3)>5.929	0.000	5.929
384.01	(3)>6.000	0.000	6.000
384.50	(3)>9.780	0.000	9.780
385.00	(3)>14.230	0.000	14.230
385.50	(5)>17.934	0.000	17.934
386.00	(5)>21.070	0.000	21.070
386.50	(5)>23.788	(3)>2.224	26.012
387.00	(5)>26.238	(3)>6.287	32.525
387.50	(5)>28.477	(3)>11.541	40.017
388.00	(6)>30.426	(3)>17.776	48.202
388.50	(6)>31.948	(3)>24.832	56.781
389.00	(6)>33.380	(3)>32.640	66.020
389.50	(6)>34.764	(3)>40.983	75.747
390.00	(6)>36.079	(5)>47.696	83.775

Detailed Discharge Table

Structure #2 (Pond)

Pond 014B

Pond Inputs:

Initial Pool Elev:

378.00 ft

Convright 1998 -2010 Pamela I Schwah

	Initial Pool:		0.01 ac	-ft		
		<u>S</u>	traight Pip	<u>e</u>		
Barrel	Barrel	Parrol	Manningla	Chillwov	Entrance	Tailwater
Diameter	Length	Slope (%)	Manining S	Spiliway	Loss	Depth
(in)	(ft)	Slope (%)	11	Elev (It)	Coefficient	(ft)
27.00	41.00	2.02	0.0130	384.55	0.90	0.00

Straight Pipe

Barrel	Barrel	Parrol	Manningla	Chillwov	Entrance	Tailwater
Diameter	Length		Manning S	Spillway	Loss	Depth
(in)	(ft)	Slope (%)	n	Elev (ft)	Coefficient	(ft)
27.00	41.00	2.56	0.0130	384.82	0.90	0.00

Barrel	Barrel	Parrol	Manningle	Coillwov	Entrance	Tailwater
Diameter	Length		Marining S	Spillway	Loss	Depth
(in)	(ft)	Slope (%)	11	Elev (It)	Coefficient	(ft)
27.00	41.00	2.56	0.0130	384.82	0.90	0.00

Pond Results:

Pe	eak Elevation:	386.85 ft
D	ewater Time:	1.58 days

Dewatering time is calculated from peak stage to lowest spillway

Elevation-Capacity-Discharge Table

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)	
376.50	0.005	0.000	0.000		
376.51	0.005	0.000	0.000		
377.00	0.006	0.003	0.000		
377.50	0.008	0.006	0.000		
378.00	0.010	0.011	0.000		
378.50	0.017	0.018	4.460	0.02*	
379.00	0.026	0.028	4.460	0.03*	
379.50	0.037	0.044	4.460	0.04*	
380.00	0.050	0.066	4.460	0.06*	
380.01	0.100	0.067	4.460	0.00*	
380.50	1.422	0.377	4.460	0.84*	
380.60	1.860	0.540	4.460	0.44*	
380.61	1.861	0.559	4.460	0.05*	
381.00	1.919	1.296	4.460	2.00*	

Convright 1998 -2010 Pamela I Schwah

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)	
381.50	1.994	2.274	4.460	2.65*	
382.00	2.070	3.290	4.460	2.76*	
382.50	2.112	4.336	4.460	2.84*	
383.00	2.154	5.402	4.460	2.89*	
383.50	2.197	6.490	4.460	2.95*	
384.00	2.240	7.599	4.460	3.01*	
384.50	2.299	8.734	4.460	3.08*	
384.55	2.305	8.849	4.460	0.31*	Spillway #1
384.82	2.337	9.476	5.127	1.48*	Spillway #2
385.00	2.359	9.898	6.282	1.30	
385.50	2.419	11.093	11.467	4.60	
386.00	2.480	12.317	18.736	2.70	
386.50	2.564	13.578	27.553	1.95	
386.85	2.624	14.488	34.642	1.80	Peak Stage
387.00	2.650	14.882	37.706		

*Designates time(s) to dewater have been extrapolated beyond the 50 hour hydrograph limit.

Detailed Discharge Table

Elevation (ft)	Straight Pipe (cfs)	Straight Pipe (cfs)	User- input discharge	Combined Total Discharge
			(0.0)	(cfs)
376.50	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
376.51	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
377.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
377.50	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
378.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
378.50	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
379.00	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
379.50	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
380.00	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
380.01	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
380.50	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
380.60	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
380.61	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
381.00	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
381.50	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
382.00	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
382.50	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
383.00	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
383.50	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460

				Combined
Elevation	Straight Pipe	Straight Pipe	User-	Total
(ft)	(cfs)	(cfs)	input discharge (cfs)	Discharge
			(0.0)	(cfs)
384.00	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
384.50	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
384.55	0.000	0.000	4.460	4.460
384.82	(3)>0.667	0.000	4.460	5.127
385.00	(3)>1.429	(3)>0.393	4.460	6.282
385.50	(3)>4.365	(3)>2.643	4.460	11.467
386.00	(3)>8.231	(3)>6.045	4.460	18.736
386.50	(3)>12.831	(3)>10.262	4.460	27.553
387.00	(3)>18.074	(3)>15.172	4.460	37.706

Stru #	SWS #	SWS Area	Time of Conc	Musk K	Musk X	Curve	UHS	Peak Discharge	Runoff Volume
		(ac)	(hrs)	(113)		Number		(cfs)	(ac-ft)
#1	1	51.280	0.209	0.000	0.000	90.000	F	189.16	18.195
	2	1.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	99.000	F	4.39	0.468
	Σ	52.290						190.00	18.663
#2	1	11.400	0.154	0.090	0.327	79.000	М	27.44	2.621
	2	28.750	0.187	0.000	0.000	84.000	F	97.64	8.917
	3	1.860	0.000	0.000	0.000	99.000	F	8.09	0.861
	Σ	94.300						176.55	31.057

Subwatershed Hydrology Detail:

Subwatershed Time of Concentration Details:

Stru #	SWS #	Land Flow Condition	Slope (%)	Vert. Dist. (ft)	Horiz. Dist. (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Time (hrs)
#1	1	3. Short grass pasture	25.49	26.00	102.00	4.030	0.007
		5. Nearly bare and untilled, and alluvial valley fans	1.15	4.00	349.00	1.070	0.090
		8. Large gullies, diversions, and low flowing streams	48.82	62.00	127.00	20.960	0.001
		8. Large gullies, diversions, and low flowing streams	1.85	16.00	866.03	4.070	0.059
		8. Large gullies, diversions, and low flowing streams	2.12	10.00	472.03	4.360	0.030
		8. Large gullies, diversions, and low flowing streams	13.09	116.00	886.00	10.850	0.022
#1	1	Time of Concentration:					0.209
#2	1	3. Short grass pasture	4.76	2.00	42.00	1.740	0.006
		3. Short grass pasture	37.14	26.00	70.00	4.870	0.003
		6. Grassed waterway	0.70	4.00	571.00	1.250	0.126
		7. Paved area and small upland gullies	24.89	176.00	707.00	10.040	0.019
#2	1	Time of Concentration:					0.154
#2	2	3. Short grass pasture	40.00	26.00	65.00	5.050	0.003
		6. Grassed waterway	0.68	5.00	739.09	1.230	0.166
		7. Paved area and small upland gullies	24.11	27.00	112.00	9.880	0.003
		8. Large gullies, diversions, and low flowing streams	2.81	8.00	285.00	5.020	0.015
#2	2	Time of Concentration:					0.187

Subwatershed Muskingum Routing Details:

Stru #	SWS #	Land Flow Condition	Slope (%)	Vert. Dist. (ft)	Horiz. Dist. (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Time (hrs)
#2	1	8. Large gullies, diversions, and low flowing streams	1.14	12.00	1,048.00	3.210	0.090
#2	1	Muskingum K:					0.090

Big Rivers Electric Corporation <u>Reid/Green/HMPL Stations</u> <u>Sebree, Kentucky</u>

Stormwater Evaluation Green Landfill Pond 012

25 Year - 24 Hour Event

AEI Project #21-0095

Dalton Hankins

General Information

Storm Information:

Storm Type:	NRCS Type II
Design Storm:	25 yr - 24 hr
Rainfall Depth:	5.680 inches

Structure Networking:									
Туре	Stru #	(flows into)	Stru #	Musk. K (hrs)	Musk. X	Description			
Pond	#1	==>	End	0.000	0.000	Pond 012			

#1 Pond

Structura Naturarkina

		Immediate Contributing Area (ac)	Total Contributing Area (ac)	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Total Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#1	In	74 700	74 700	233.63	23.98
#1	/4./00 Out		74.700	4.46	14.93

Structure Summary:

Structure Detail:

Structure #1 (Pond)

Pond 012

Pond Inputs:

Initial Pool Elev:	382.50 ft			
Initial Pool:	2.49 ac-ft			
Emergency Spillway				

Spillway Elev	Crest Length	Left	Right	Bottom
	(ft)	Sideslope	Sideslope	Width (ft)
386.85	18.00	8.00:1	8.00:1	28.00

Spillway Elev	Crest Length	Left	Right	Bottom
	(ft)	Sideslope	Sideslope	Width (ft)
386.85	18.00	8.00:1	8.00:1	28.00

Pond Results:

Peak Elevation:	385.34 ft
Dewater Time:	0.00 days

Dewatering time is calculated from peak stage to lowest spillway

Elevation-Capacity-Discharge Table

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)	
382.00	4.740	0.000	0.000		
382.01	4.750	0.047	0.000		
382.50	5.223	2.490	0.000		
383.00	5.728	5.227	4.460		
383.50	6.258	8.222	4.460		
384.00	6.810	11.488	4.460		
384.50	7.063	14.956	4.460		
385.00	7.321	18.552	4.460		
385.34	7.498	21.052	4.460	0.00	Peak Stage
385.50	7.583	22.278	4.460		
386.00	7.850	26.136	4.460		

Detailed Discharge Table

			Combined
Elevation	Emergency	User-	Total
(ft)	Spillway (cfs)	input discharge	Discharge
		(0.5)	(cfs)
382.00	0.000	0.000	0.000
382.01	0.000	0.000	0.000
382.50	0.000	0.000	0.000
383.00	0.000	4.460	4.460
383.50	0.000	4.460	4.460
384.00	0.000	4.460	4.460
384.50	0.000	4.460	4.460
385.00	0.000	4.460	4.460
385.50	0.000	4.460	4.460
386.00	0.000	4.460	4.460

Stru #	SWS #	SWS Area (ac)	Time of Conc (hrs)	Musk K (hrs)	Musk X	Curve Number	UHS	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#1	1	19.200	0.201	0.071	0.315	91.000	F	72.61	7.025
	2	20.400	0.085	0.000	0.000	91.000	F	84.37	7.890
	3	30.360	0.282	0.000	0.000	79.000	М	64.76	6.872
	4	4.740	0.000	0.000	0.000	99.000	F	20.62	2.195
	Σ	74.700						233.63	23.982

Subwatershed Hydrology Detail:

Subwatershed Time of Concentration Details:

Stru #	SWS #	Land Flow Condition	Slope (%)	Vert. Dist. (ft)	Horiz. Dist. (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Time (hrs)
#1	1	 Nearly bare and untilled, and alluvial valley fans 	38.16	29.00	76.00	6.170	0.003
		8. Large gullies, diversions, and low flowing streams	0.62	10.00	1,624.00	2.350	0.191
		7. Paved area and small upland gullies	19.75	48.00	243.00	8.940	0.007
#1	1	Time of Concentration:					0.201
#1	2	5. Nearly bare and untilled, and alluvial valley fans	34.29	24.00	70.00	5.850	0.003
		8. Large gullies, diversions, and low flowing streams	1.89	20.00	1,057.00	4.120	0.071
		7. Paved area and small upland gullies	21.09	81.00	384.00	9.240	0.011
#1	2	Time of Concentration:					0.085
#1	3	3. Short grass pasture	37.14	26.00	70.00	4.870	0.003
		6. Grassed waterway	0.76	10.00	1,309.00	1.310	0.277
		7. Paved area and small upland gullies	23.08	24.00	104.00	9.670	0.002
#1	3	Time of Concentration:					0.282

Subwatershed Muskingum Routing Details:

Stru #	SWS #	Land Flow Condition	Slope (%)	Vert. Dist. (ft)	Horiz. Dist. (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Time (hrs)
#1	1	8. Large gullies, diversions, and low flowing streams	0.93	7.00	749.00	2.900	0.071
#1	1	Muskingum K:					0.071